

**From:** ACalapai@aol.com@inetgw  
**To:** Microsoft ATR  
**Date:** 1/13/02 10:13pm  
**Subject:** (no subject)

GENTLEMEN:

THE JUDGE IN THIS LAST DECISION OF THE MICROSOFT AGREEMENT STATES THAT THEIR OFFER WAS TOO ADVANTAGEOUS FOR THEM AND TOO COMPETITIVE FOR APPLE COMPUTER.

SINCE WHEN DOES A JUDGE RULE ON COMPETITION BETWEEN TWO CORPORATE ENTITIES. I THOUGHT THAT'S WHAT BUSINESS WAS ALL ABOUT.

WHAT DID APPLE EVER CONTRIBUTE TO THE SCHOOLS THAT ARE IN POOR AND NEEDY AREAS.

HAVE THE COURTS IN OUR COUNTRY TURNED SO LIBERAL AND AGAINST BIG AND SUCCESSFUL CORPORATIONS.

THE JUDGE DID NOT BELIEVE THAT A BILLION DOLLARS WAS ENOUGH-IS THAT BECAUSE THEY ARE A WEALTHY COMPANY?

ARE THESE JUDGES , POLITICIANS AND STATES ATTY GENERALS TRYING TO ULTIMATELY BREAK BIG BUSINESS.OR JUST TAKE ADVANTAGE OF THEM BECAUSE THEY HAVE THE RESOURCES

DISAPPOINTED AND CONCERNED